

Testing Einstein with Orbiting **Gyroscopes** [+ some remarks on STEP] Symposium on Geometry & the Universe Stony Brook 20-21 October 2005

Francis Everitt





Roadmap for a Gravity Probe

- GP-B & the drama of launch
- Basic experiment concept
- Near Zeroes & why we need them
- On-orbit
- Dither & aberration: 2 secrets of GP-B
- Calibration/verification
- Wider lessons, with some remarks on STEP



Testing Einstein – NASA's Contributions



Laser Ranging: to reflectors on Moon (1968+)

The Gravity Probe A clock experiment (1976)





Radar Time Delay: to Viking Lander on Mars (1976) to Cassini spacecraft around Saturn (1999+)

Gravity Probe B

Two new effects with ultra-accurate gyroscopes



The Relativity Mission Concept



"If at first the idea is not absurd, then there is no hope for it." -- A. Einstein



• Oblateness correction:

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

EED MARTIN

* Dan Wilkins (Physics), John Breakwell (Aero/Astro)

Page 4



Launch: April 20, 2004 – 09:57:24











Boeing & Luck -- A Near Perfect Orbit



Delta II Nominal Accuracy

STANFORD UNIVERSITY



Gyro I: Overview



- Electrical Suspension
- Gas Spin-up
- Magnetic Readout



"Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler." -- A. Einstein

Gyro II: Suspension Characteristics



STANFORD

- Operates over 9 orders of magnitude of g levels
- Range of motion within cavity (15,000 nm) for:
 - science (centered in cavity)
 - spinup (offset to spin channel ~ 11,000 nm)
 - calibration (offset, 200 nm increments)
- Alignment (roll phased voltage variation)





Analog ground-based version: John Nikirk, Dick Van Patten & John Gill (Aero/Astro)

Digital flight version:

* Bill Bencze (EE) & joint Stanford-Lockheed Martin team, including 3 Aero/Astro, 2 EE PhDs & 6 undergraduates (4 departments)

Nanometer references

- thickness of sheet of paper ~ 100,000 nm
- diameter of atom ~ .1 to .5 nm



Gyro III: The Spin-up Problem(s)

Quartz Gyroscope

Auxiliary Pumping Line Housing Spin-up Channel Torque Switching Requirement +-ab $T_r/T_s < \Omega_0 t_s \sim 10^{-14}$ 1.5" Diameter Ball T_s, T_r - spin & residual cross-track torques Design Parameters (µm) t_s - spin time; Ω_0 - drift requirement a = 5.000b = 500w = 500 $\delta = 17$ $\Delta = 32$ L = 20,000**Differential Pumping Requirement** * Dan Bracken (Physics) spin channel ~ 10 torr (sonic velocity) Don Baganoff (Aero/Astro) electrode area $< 10^{-3}$ torr + refinements by John Lipa, John Turneaure & several students

"Any fool can get the steam into the cylinders; it takes a clever man to get it out again afterwards." -- G. J. Churchward, ~ 1895

- Gyro IV: London Moment Readout



STANFORD

UNIVERSITY

Jim Lockhart (* Physics & SFSU) Barry Muhlfelder (HEPL)

- * Greg Gutt & * Ming Luo (EE) Bruce Clarke (HEPL)
 - Terry McGinnis (Lockheed)

+ many more



"SQUID" → 1 marc-s in 5 hours



- Noise performance
- DC trapped flux < 10⁻⁶ gauss
- AC shielding > 10^{12}
- Centering stability < 50 nm



The GP-B Cryogenic Payload



Two notable doctoral dissertations:

* Peter Selzer (Physics) - porous plug for space* John McCuan (Math) - helium tidal studies

Payload in ground testing at Stanford, August 2002





Page 11



The GP-B Flight Probe

Lockheed Martin Lead: Gary Reynolds



Assembled probe at Lockheed prior to shipment to Stanford



Alignment, Bonding & Cryogenic Stability

STANFORD

OCKHEED MARTIN

UNIVERSITY

"Design a precision apparatus as if it were made of jello – if it is stable then, it may just work." -- H.A. Rowland, ~1900

Assembly & alignment: *Doron Bardas (Physics), * Robert Brumley (EE!)* Silicate bonding: *Jason Gwo (Berkeley Chemistry!)*

Near Zeros & Why We Need Them

1 marcsec/yr = 3.2×10^{-11} deg/hr

Six Crucial Near Zeros

- 1) rotor inhomogeneities
- 2) "drag-free"
- 3) rotor asphericity
- 4) magnetic field
- 5) pressure
- 6) electric charge

Page 14

Drag-free eliminates mass-unbalance torque -- and key to understanding/quantification of other support torques

Asphericity: 3rd Near Zero -- Making

Self-aligning laps

STANFORD

LOCKHEED MARTIN

UNIVERSITY

- Uniform rotation-rate, pressure
- 6 combinations of directions, reversed 2 & 2 every 6 seconds
- Continuous-feed lapping compound
- Controlled pH
- Interested, skilled operators!

MSFC	<u>STANFORD</u>
Wilhelm Angele	Thorwald van Hooydonk
John Rasquin	Frane Marcelja
Ed White	Victor Graham (visitor)

Asphericity: 3rd Near Zero -- Measuring

STANFORD

Roundness Measurement to ~ 1 nm

Students 1988 - 1992

- * Grace Chang (A/A)
- * Rebecca Eades (Math)
- * Benjamin Lutch (undeclared)
- * Dave Schleicher (Comp Sci)
- * Dieter Schwarz (EE)
- * Michael Bleckman (Hamburg)
- * Christoph Willsch (Göttingen)

Ultra-low Magnetic Field: 4th Near Zero

Superconducting Lead Bag Technology

• flux = field x area

STANFORD

- successive expansions
 stable field levels ~ 10⁻⁷ gauss
- 10⁻¹² [=120 dB!] ac shielding through combination of cryoperm, lead bag, local superconducting shields & symmetry

* Blas Cabrera (1976 Physics PhD)

Dewar bag Jim Lockhart (* Physics, SFSU), Mike Taber (* Physics, HEPL), Chuck Warren, Dave Murray

Magnetic material testing John Mester, Grace Brauer

On-Orbit: GP-B Mission Operations

STANFORD

Anomaly Room

Marcie Smith (NASA Ames) Kim Nevitt (NASA MSFC) Rob Nevitt (NavAstro) Brett Stroozas (NavAstro) Lewis Wooten (NASA MSFC) Ric Campo (Lockheed Martin) Jerry Aguinado (LM)

+ many more

Program Manager – Gaylord Green

Mission Operations Center

GP-B Gyro On-Orbit Initial Liftoff

Initial Gyro Levitation and De-levitation using analog backup system

David Hipkins (HEPL) * Yoshimi Ohshima (A/A) Steve Larsen (LM) Colin Perry (LM) + many more!

Page 20

Suspension Performance On-Orbit

<u>Gyro position</u> – non drag-free gravity gradient effects in Science Mission Mode

Measurement noise – 0.45 nm rms

Noise floor

Gyro Readout Performance On-Orbit I

STANFORD

OCKHEED MARTIN

UNIVERSITY

Bruce Clarke, Barry Muhlfelder + the team

Page 22

10^{*} L 10⁴

10-3

frequency (Hz)

10

Drag-Free: 2nd Near Zero

Lockheed Martin Attitude/Translational Control

Design Lead: Jon Kirschenbaum

Toward guide star

Cross track

Demonstrated accelerometer (drag free) performance better than 10⁻¹¹ g DC to 1 Hz

Boil-off, Altitude & Thrust --**A Subtle Combination**

- A very different control system •
 - Continuous flow *proportional thrusters*
 - Reynolds' # $\rho v l/\eta \sim 10!!$ -- flowing like honey
- •
- Thrust calibration: * John Bull + * Jen Heng Chen (A/A)

Jeff Vanden Beukel Lockheed Martin thrusters: •

* Yusuf Jafry (A/A) with LM team

He specific impulse vs.mass flow rate

Ultra-low Pressure: 5th Near Zero

Low Temperature Bakeout (ground demonstration)

Gyro spindown periods on-orbit (years)

	before bakeout	after bakeout
Gyro #1	~ 50	15,800
Gyro #2	~ 40	13,400
Gyro #3	~ 40	7,000
Gyro #4	~ 40	25,700

The Cryopump

John Lipa, John Turneaure (Physics) + students; adsorption isotherms for He at low temperature,* Eric Cornell, (undergraduate honors thesis)

pressure < 1.5 x 10⁻¹¹ torr (+ minute eddy-current damping effects?)

Page 26

Rotor Electric Charge: 6th Near Zero

Discharge of Gyro #1

Ti Steering Electrode

Saps Buchman, Dale Gill, Bruce Clarke (Physics, HEPL) + * Brian DiDonna & * Ted Quinn (Physics)

Typical charge rates ~ 0.1 mV/day

Star Tracker I: Concept

Design Lead: Don Davidson, Davidson Optronics, Inc. & OID

Some dimensions

BASE		PHOTODIODE READOUT MODULE BEAM SPLITTER ASSEMBLY		Physical length Focal length Aperture	0.33 m 3.81 m 0.14 m
PRIMARY	TERTIARY SECONDARY	CORRECTOR PLATE		At focal plane Image dia. 0.1 marc-s	50 μm 0.18 nm
QUARTZ BLOCK INTERFACE	ar assembly (detail)				
			Z		

Star Tracker II: Under Test

Detector Package

Si Diode Detector

John Lipa, Jason Gwo, Suwen Wang (Physics, HEPL), Bob Farley (Lockheed), John Goebel (NASA Ames)

Telescope development

- * Mo Badi (Ap Phys), * Dana Clark (ME),
- * Chris Cumbermack (Pre-med!),
- * Howard Shen (EE) + 6 others

Artificial Star #3

* Ted Acworth, * Rob Bernier

Artificial Star #3

Star Tracker III: Acquiring Star

Fig. 705. SCI_Sep09_part1-L2 . GS Track (during GS Valid), Side A Start time: 148031616 sec. Total: 54.0 min

Drive-in time ~ 110 s RMS pointing ~ 90 marc-s

4IM Peg (HR 8703) Guide Star Identification

Palomar Star Map

STANFORD

UNIVERSITY

___NhS1 (acquired)

HR Peg (acquired)

IM Peg / Guide Star

- Optical & radio binary star
- Magnitude 5.7 (variable)
- Declination 16.84 deg
- Proper motion measured by SAO using VLBI

Very Large Array, Socorro, New Mexico

UNIVERSITY Ground-based & Space Observations of IM Peg

John Goebel (NASA Ames) Suwen Wang (Stanford) Michael Ratner (SAO) Greg Henry (U of Tenn.) Jeff Kolodziejczak (NASA Marshall Center) Svetlana Berdyugina (ETH, Switzerland)

GP-B flight data (*peaked toward red*)
G. Henry's ground-based data (*visible light*)

Through GP-B, IM Peg will be most completely characterized star in the entire heavens!

Dither & Aberration: Two Secrets of GP-B Dither -- Slow 30 marc-s oscillations injected into pointing system Image: Contract of the system

Orbital motion > varying apparent position of star (V_{orbit}/C + special relativity correction)

Earth around Sun -- 20.4958 arc-s @ 1 year period S/V around Earth -- 5.1856 arc-s @ 97.5 min period

Continuous accurate calibration of GP-B experiment

UNIVERSITY 3 Phases of In-flight Verification

A. Initial orbit checkout (128 days)

- re-verification of all ground calibrations [scale factors, tempco's etc.]
- disturbance measurements on gyros at low spin speed

B. Science Phase (353 days)

exploiting the built-in checks [Nature's helpful variations]

C. Post-experiment tests (46 days)

 refined calibrations through deliberate enhancement of disturbances, etc. [...learning the lesson from Cavendish]

Data Reduction Team:

Mac Keiser, * Ed Fei (undeclared), Michael Heifetz, Jie Li, Yoshimi Ohshima (* A/A), * Michael Salomon (A/A), David Santiago (* Physics), Alex Silbergleit, * Sara Smoot (A/A), Vladimir Solomonik, Karl Stahl (* ME) + Bill Bencze, Peter Boretsky, Bruce Clarke, Dan DeBra, Barry Muhlfelder, Paul Shestople, John Turneaure, Suwen Wang, Paul Worden

Wider Significance of the GP-B Experience

- Physics-Aero/Astro collaboration from the start
- 'Near Zero' in space
- Some technologies
 - Drag-free
 - Pointing
 - Cryogenics
- Integrated Science/Operations team
- University/industry/NASA collaboration

Space > 5 Orders of Magnitude Leap

Page 37

STEP International Collaboration

Research Center Partners

Stanford University

University of Birmingham, UK

ESTEC

FCS Universität, Jena, Germany

Imperial College, London, UK

Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris ONERA, Paris, France

PTB, Braunschweig, Germany

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK

University of Strathclyde, UK

Universitá di Trento, Italy

ZARM, Universität Bremen, Germany

Some Elements of the STEP Mission

assembled flight instrument

magnetic bearing

bearing under test

2-axis tilt platform

differential SQUID readout

SQUID assembly

Test Mass Shape & Composition

Dimensions give 6th order insensitivity to gravity gradient disturbances from spacecraft -- µm tolerances

Test masses as 'different' as possible						
Material	Z	N	$\left(\frac{N+Z}{1-1}\right)$	<u>N –Z</u>	$\frac{Z(z-1)}{z-4}$	
			L μ / Barvon Number	μ Lepton Number	$\mu (N + Z')^3$	
Be	4	5	-1.3518	0.11096	0.64013	
Si	14	14.1	0.8257	0.00387	2.1313	
Nb	41	52	1.0075	0.11840	3.8462	
Pt	78	117.116	0.18295	0.20051	5.3081	

Damour C&QG 13 A33 (1996)

Helium Tide Control

Silica Aerogel Constraint

- void sizes 100 to 1000 nm
- confines He even in 1g
- passed cryogenic shake test

250 mm

STEP: a Landmark in Fundamental Physics

What Physics has done for Space?

"I guess one of the reasons we got here today was because of a gentleman named Galileo....who made a rather significant discovery about falling objects in gravity fields."

--David Scott

What Space can do for Physics?

STEP (and probably only STEP) has the potential of discovering new forces in Nature that would tell us a lot about why the universe is as it is, and what its ultimate state will be."

-- Thibault Damour

