

# A Bird Eye's view: recent update to Extremal metrics

Xiuxiong Chen

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin at Madison

January 21, 2009

# A Bird Eye's view: recent update to Extremal metrics

Xiuxiong Chen

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin at Madison

January 21, 2009

Based on work of myself and students.

## Basic setup in Kähler Geometry

$(M, [\omega])$  is a polarized Kähler manifold where

$$\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dw_{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{w}_{\beta} > 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

## Basic setup in Kähler Geometry

$(M, [\omega])$  is a polarized Kähler manifold where

$$\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dw_{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{w}_{\beta} > 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

In some local coordinate  $U \subset M$ , there is a local potential function  $\rho$  such that

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial w_{\alpha} \partial \bar{w}_{\beta}}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

## Basic setup in Kähler Geometry

$(M, [\omega])$  is a polarized Kähler manifold where

$$\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dw_{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{w}_{\beta} > 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

In some local coordinate  $U \subset M$ , there is a local potential function  $\rho$  such that

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial w_{\alpha} \partial \bar{w}_{\beta}}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

A Kähler class

$$[\omega] = \{ \omega_{\varphi} \mid \omega_{\varphi} = \omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi > 0 \text{ on } M \}$$

where  $\varphi$  is a real valued function.

Ricci form:

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(\omega) &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \omega^n \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}). \end{aligned}$$

Ricci form:

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(\omega) &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \omega^n \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det \left( g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Scalar curvature:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= -g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_\alpha \partial \bar{w}_\beta} \log \det \left( g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right) \\ &= -\Delta_g \log \det \left( g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Ricci form:

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(\omega) &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \omega^n \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det \left( g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Scalar curvature:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= -g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_\alpha \partial \bar{w}_\beta} \log \det \left( g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right) \\ &= -\Delta_g \log \det \left( g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first Chern class is positive definite (resp: negative definite) if

$$[Ric(\omega)] > (\text{resp. } <) 0 \text{ on } M.$$

## Calabi's program

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \int_M (R(\omega_\varphi) - \underline{R})^2 \omega_\varphi^n.$$

# Calabi's program

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \int_M (R(\omega_\varphi) - \underline{R})^2 \omega_\varphi^n.$$

- ▶ Minimize this functional in each Kähler class.
- ▶ Critical points

# Calabi's program

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \int_M (R(\omega_\varphi) - \underline{R})^2 \omega_\varphi^n.$$

- ▶ Minimize this functional in each Kähler class.
- ▶ Critical points
  - ▶ Extremal Kähler metric:  $\mathcal{X}_c = g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \bar{w}_\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_\alpha}$  is holomorphic.
  - ▶ Constant Scalar curvature metric:  $R(\omega_\varphi) = \text{const.}$
  - ▶ Kähler-Einstein metric:  $Ric(\omega) = \lambda\omega$ ; where  $\lambda = 1, 0, -1$ .

## The space of Kähler potentials

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varphi \mid \omega_\varphi = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \text{ on } M\}$$

$$T_\varphi\mathcal{H} = C^\infty(M).$$

## The space of Kähler potentials

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varphi \mid \omega_\varphi = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \text{ on } M\}$$

$$T_\varphi\mathcal{H} = C^\infty(M).$$

Convexity:  $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \Rightarrow t\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ .

# The space of Kähler potentials

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varphi \mid \omega_\varphi = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \text{ on } M\}$$

$$T_\varphi\mathcal{H} = C^\infty(M).$$

Convexity:  $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \Rightarrow t\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ . 1987, T. Mabuchi introduced a Riemannian metric in  $\mathcal{H}$  :

$\forall \psi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{H} = C^\infty(M)$ , define

$$\|\psi\|_\varphi^2 = \int_M \psi^2 \omega_\varphi^n.$$

# The space of Kähler potentials

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\varphi \mid \omega_\varphi = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \text{ on } M\}$$

$$T_\varphi\mathcal{H} = C^\infty(M).$$

Convexity:  $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \Rightarrow t\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ . 1987, T. Mabuchi introduced a Riemannian metric in  $\mathcal{H}$  :

$\forall \psi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{H} = C^\infty(M)$ , define

$$\|\psi\|_\varphi^2 = \int_M \psi^2 \omega_\varphi^n.$$

The geodesic equation is:

$$\varphi''(t) - g_\varphi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \varphi'_\alpha(t) \varphi'_{\bar{\beta}}(t) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $g_{\varphi\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial w_\alpha \partial \bar{w}_\beta}$ .

According to S. Semmes, this can be written as

$$\det \left( g_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}} \right)_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} = 0$$

in  $[0, 1] \times S^1 \times M$ .

It is called a **geodesic ray** if (1) holds in  $[0, \infty) \times S^1 \times M$ .

## Curvature of $\mathcal{H}$

Let  $\varphi(t)$  be a path in  $\mathcal{H}$ , one can define a connection in  $\mathcal{H}$  as:

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right)_{\varphi}$$

## Curvature of $\mathcal{H}$

Let  $\varphi(t)$  be a path in  $\mathcal{H}$ , one can define a connection in  $\mathcal{H}$  as:

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla v \right)_{\varphi}$$

T. Mabuchi, S. Semmes, S. K. Donaldson showed this Levi-civita and proved formally that for  $\xi, \zeta, \psi \in T_v \mathcal{H}$ , then

$$R_{\xi, \zeta}(\psi) = -\frac{1}{4} \{ \{ \xi, \zeta \}_v, \psi \}_v.$$

## Curvature of $\mathcal{H}$

Let  $\varphi(t)$  be a path in  $\mathcal{H}$ , one can define a connection in  $\mathcal{H}$  as:

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla v \right)_\varphi$$

T. Mabuchi, S. Semmes, S. K. Donaldson showed this Levi-civita and proved formally that for  $\xi, \zeta, \psi \in T_v \mathcal{H}$ , then

$$R_{\xi, \zeta}(\psi) = -\frac{1}{4} \{ \{ \xi, \zeta \}_v, \psi \}_v.$$

In particular, the sectional curvature is non-negative

$$R(\xi, \zeta, \zeta, \xi) = -\frac{1}{4} | \{ \xi, \zeta \}_v |^2 \leq 0.$$

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} (L, h) \\ \downarrow \\ (M, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$  be an ample line bundle such that the curvature of  $h$  is  $\omega$ .

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} (L, h) \\ \downarrow \\ (M, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$  be an ample line bundle such that the curvature of  $h$  is  $\omega$ .

$H^0(X, L^k)$ : all holomorphic sections of  $L^k$  for large enough  $k$ .

Denote by  $N_k$  the dimension of  $H^0(X, L^k)$ . Let  $B_k$  be the space of positive definite Hermitian matrixes in  $H^0(X, L^k)$ .

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} (L, h) \\ \downarrow \\ (M, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$  be an ample line bundle such that the curvature of  $h$  is  $\omega$ .

$H^0(X, L^k)$ : all holomorphic sections of  $L^k$  for large enough  $k$ .

Denote by  $N_k$  the dimension of  $H^0(X, L^k)$ . Let  $B_k$  be the space of positive definite Hermitian matrixes in  $H^0(X, L^k)$ .

Then  $B_k$  could be viewed as the non-compact symmetric space  $GL(N_k; \mathbb{C})/U(N_k)$ , with the standard metric on matrices. The

spaces  $B_k$  are related to  $\mathfrak{H}$  through two naturally defined maps:

$$\text{Hilb}_k : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow B_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{FS}_k : B_k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

## Theorem

*(Chen-Sun) Given any  $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in H$ , the distance in  $\mathfrak{B}_k$  of  $\text{Hilb}_k(\phi_0)$  and  $\text{Hilb}_k(\phi_1)$  divided by  $k^{\frac{n+2}{2}}$  converges to the distance of  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

## Theorem

*(Chen-Sun) Given any  $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in H$ , the distance in  $\mathfrak{B}_k$  of  $\text{Hilb}_k(\phi_0)$  and  $\text{Hilb}_k(\phi_1)$  divided by  $k^{\frac{n+2}{2}}$  converges to the distance of  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Using Kodaira embedding to approximate geodesic: Phong-Sturm, Song-Zelditch, Rubinstein-Zelditch.

# The K energy functional

T. Mabuchi in 1988 defined a 1-form in the space of Kähler potentials

$$d\mathbf{E} : T\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

# The K energy functional

T. Mabuchi in 1988 defined a 1-form in the space of Kähler potentials

$$d\mathbf{E} : T\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

by

$$d\mathbf{E}(\varphi, \psi) = -(R(\omega_\varphi) - \underline{R}, \psi)_\varphi,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in T\mathcal{H}$ .

# The K energy functional

T. Mabuchi in 1988 defined a 1-form in the space of Kähler potentials

$$d\mathbf{E} : T\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

by

$$d\mathbf{E}(\varphi, \psi) = -(R(\omega_\varphi) - \underline{R}, \psi)_\varphi,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in T\mathcal{H}$ . Clearly, the Euler Lagrange equation of this functional is

$$R(\omega_\varphi) - \underline{R} = \text{constant}.$$

# Donaldson's Conjecture

- ▶  $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \exists ! C^\infty$  geodesic  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{H}$  which connects  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{H}$  is a metric space, i.e.,  $d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) > 0$  if  $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ .
- ▶ The K energy is a convex function along geodesic. ( $\Rightarrow$  Extremal Kähler metric is unique. )

# Donaldson's Conjecture

- ▶  $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \exists! C^\infty$  geodesic  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{H}$  which connects  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{H}$  is a metric space, i.e.,  $d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) > 0$  if  $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ .
- ▶ The K energy is a convex function along geodesic. ( $\Rightarrow$  Extremal Kähler metric is unique. )

X. X. Chen (1998) proved that  $\mathcal{H}$  is geodesically convex by  $C^{1,1}$  geodesic.

# Donaldson's Conjecture

- ▶  $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \exists! C^\infty$  geodesic  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{H}$  which connects  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{H}$  is a metric space, i.e.,  $d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) > 0$  if  $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ .
- ▶ The K energy is a convex function along geodesic. ( $\Rightarrow$  Extremal Kähler metric is unique. )

X. X. Chen (1998) proved that  $\mathcal{H}$  is geodesically convex by  $C^{1,1}$  geodesic.

X. Chen + Calabi (1999) proved that  $\mathcal{H}$  is non-positively curved.

## Theorem

(Chen) For any two Kähler metric  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ , and the unique  $C^{1,1}$  geodesic  $\varphi(t, x)$  connecting these two metrics such that  $\varphi(0, x) = \varphi_0$  and  $\varphi(1, x) = \varphi_1$ , then

$$\left( d\mathbf{E} \Big|_{\varphi_0, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}} \right) \leq \left( d\mathbf{E} \Big|_{\varphi_1, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=1}} \right).$$

## Theorem

(Chen) For any  $\omega_\varphi \in [\omega]$ , we have

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) \geq \mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}(\mathcal{X}_c) = \|\mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}\|^2.$$

Remark: S. K. Donaldson proved similar inequality in algebraic case.

## Theorem

(Chen) For any  $\omega_\varphi \in [\omega]$ , we have

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) \geq \mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}(\mathcal{X}_c) = \|\mathcal{F}_{[\omega]}\|^2.$$

Remark: S. K. Donaldson proved similar inequality in algebraic case.

## Theorem

(Chen-Tian) The K energy functional bounded from below if there exists a cscK metric in its Kähler class.

In the space of Kahler potentials, we have

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \|\nabla \mathbf{E}\|^2(\omega_\varphi).$$

In the space of Kahler potentials, we have

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \|\nabla \mathbf{E}\|^2(\omega_\varphi).$$

### Question

*the infimum of Calabi energy in a Kähler class is 0 if and only if the K energy in the same class is uniformly bounded from below.*

In the space of Kahler potentials, we have

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \|\nabla \mathbf{E}\|^2(\omega_\varphi).$$

### Question

*the infimum of Calabi energy in a Kähler class is 0 if and only if the K energy in the same class is uniformly bounded from below.*

Such a statement is false in finite dimension Euclidean space with respect to convex functions.

In the space of Kahler potentials, we have

$$Ca(\omega_\varphi) = \|\nabla \mathbf{E}\|^2(\omega_\varphi).$$

### Question

*the infimum of Calabi energy in a Kähler class is 0 if and only if the K energy in the same class is uniformly bounded from below.*

Such a statement is false in finite dimension Euclidean space with respect to convex functions. However, it will be interesting to see what happen in infinite dimension with nice structure.

# Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture

In algebraic manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the K stability of  $(M, [\omega])$ .

# Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture

In algebraic manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the K stability of  $(M, [\omega])$ .

An algebraic polarization is called **K stable** if the generalized futaki invariant in the central fiber in any non-trivial test configuration is negative.

# Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture

In algebraic manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the K stability of  $(M, [\omega])$ .

An algebraic polarization is called **K stable** if the generalized futaki invariant in the central fiber in any non-trivial test configuration is negative.

This is first introduced by G. Tian in 1997 in terms of special degeneration and extended for more general setting by Donaldson.

## Definition

Let  $L \rightarrow M$  be an ample line bundle over a compact complex manifold. A test configuration  $\mathcal{M}$  consists of:

1. a scheme  $\mathcal{M}$  with a  $C^*$ -action.
2. a  $C^*$ -equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ .
3. a flat  $C^*$ -equivariant map  $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow C$ , where  $C^*$  acts on  $C$  by multiplication.

and any fiber  $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$  for  $t \neq 0$  is isomorphic to  $M$ .

## Theorem

*For any destabilized simple test configuration such that the central fibre admits a cscK metric, then the K-energy functional in the Kähler class defined by nearby fibre is bounded from below uniformly.*

# Geodesic rays

## Definition

For every smooth geodesic ray  $\rho(t)$  ( $t \in [0, \infty)$ ), we can define an invariant as

$$\Upsilon(\rho) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \frac{\partial \rho(t)}{\partial t} (\underline{R} - R(\rho(t))) \omega_{\rho(t)}^n. \quad (2)$$

## Definition

A smooth, special geodesic ray  $\rho(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is called stable (resp; semi-stable) if  $\Upsilon(\rho) > 0$  (resp:  $\geq 0$ ). It is called a destabilizer for  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $\Upsilon(\rho) < 0$ .

## Definition

A smooth, special geodesic ray  $\rho(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is called stable (resp; semi-stable) if  $\Upsilon(\rho) > 0$  (resp:  $\geq 0$ ). It is called a destabilizer for  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $\Upsilon(\rho) < 0$ .

## Definition

A Kähler manifold is called geodesically stable if  $\Upsilon > 0$  along any smooth geodesic ray. It is called weakly geodesically stable if there is no destabilizing smooth geodesic ray.

## Theorem

*If there exists a smooth geodesic ray  $\rho(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  which is tamed by an ambient geometry, then for any Kähler potential  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a relative  $C^{1,1}$  geodesic ray  $\varphi(t)$  initiated from  $\varphi_0$  and parallel to  $\rho(t)$ .*

## Theorem

*If there exists a smooth geodesic ray  $\rho(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  which is tamed by an ambient geometry, then for any Kähler potential  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a relative  $C^{1,1}$  geodesic ray  $\varphi(t)$  initiated from  $\varphi_0$  and parallel to  $\rho(t)$ .*

## Definition

**Tamed by a bounded ambient geometry** A smooth geodesic ray  $(M, \omega_{\rho(t)})$  is called tamed by an ambient metric  $h = \omega + \partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\rho}$  if there is a uniform bound of the relative potential  $\rho - \bar{\rho}$  and  $\text{Riem}(h) \geq -C$ .

In algebraic polarization, the geodesic stable implies K stable?

# Conjecture on existence

## Conjecture

*(Chen) In any Kähler manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the Geodesic stability.*

# Conjecture on existence

## Conjecture

*(Chen) In any Kähler manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the Geodesic stability.*

Note that even in the algebraic polarization, geodesic stability is expected to be stronger notion comparing to K stability.

# Conjecture on existence

## Conjecture

*(Chen) In any Kähler manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the Geodesic stability.*

Note that even in the algebraic polarization, geodesic stability is expected to be stronger notion comparing to K stability.

## Theorem

*(Chen) If there exists a degenerating geodesic ray in the space of Kähler potentials tamed by a bounded ambient geometry, then either there is no cscK metric in this Kähler class or this ray is arised by one parameter holomorphic subgroup.*

# Conjecture on existence

## Conjecture

*(Chen) In any Kähler manifold  $(M, [\omega])$ , the existence of cscK metric is equivalent to the Geodesic stability.*

Note that even in the algebraic polarization, geodesic stability is expected to be stronger notion comparing to K stability.

## Theorem

*(Chen) If there exists a degenerating geodesic ray in the space of Kähler potentials tamed by a bounded ambient geometry, then either there is no cscK metric in this Kähler class or this ray is arised by one parameter holomorphic subgroup.*

Recently, J. Stoop, cscK implies K stable if  $Aut(M)$  is discret.

# Existence side of story

# The existence by PDE methods

- ▶ 1976

- ▶  $C_1 = 0$ , S. T. Yau, Calabi-Yau metric.
- ▶  $C_1 < 0$ , Existence of Kähler-Einstein metric, S. T. Yau and T. Aubin independently.

# The existence by PDE methods

- ▶ 1976
  - ▶  $C_1 = 0$ , S. T. Yau, Calabi-Yau metric.
  - ▶  $C_1 < 0$ , Existence of Kähler-Einstein metric, S. T. Yau and T. Aubin independently.
- ▶ 1988,  $C_1 > 0$  and  $n = 2$ , G. Tian, Kähler-Einstein metric exists if and only if the Futaki invariant vanishes.

# The existence by PDE methods

- ▶ 1976
  - ▶  $C_1 = 0$ , S. T. Yau, Calabi-Yau metric.
  - ▶  $C_1 < 0$ , Existence of Kähler-Einstein metric, S. T. Yau and T. Aubin independently.
- ▶ 1988,  $C_1 > 0$  and  $n = 2$ , G. Tian, Kähler-Einstein metric exists if and only if the Futaki invariant vanishes.
- ▶ 2007, X. Chen, C. LeBrun and B. Weber: There is a conformal Einstein metric in every Fano surface.

# The existence by PDE methods

- ▶ 1976
  - ▶  $C_1 = 0$ , S. T. Yau, Calabi-Yau metric.
  - ▶  $C_1 < 0$ , Existence of Kähler-Einstein metric, S. T. Yau and T. Aubin independently.
- ▶ 1988,  $C_1 > 0$  and  $n = 2$ , G. Tian, Kähler-Einstein metric exists if and only if the Futaki invariant vanishes.
- ▶ 2007, X. Chen, C. LeBrun and B. Weber: There is a conformal Einstein metric in every Fano surface.
- ▶ 2008, S. K. Donaldson, In Toric Kähler surface, the existence of cscK metric if and only if it is K stable among toric invariant Kähler metrics.

## main method of attacks

- ▶ continuous method;
- ▶ Kähler Ricci flow in canonical class:

$$\frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}(t)}{\partial t} = g_{i\bar{j}} - 2R_{i\bar{j}}(g(t)).$$

- ▶ The Calabi flow:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = R_\varphi - \underline{R}.$$

# Kähler Ricci flow in Fano surface

## Theorem

*X. Chen + B. Wang: In any Fano surface with reductive automorphism group, the Kähler Ricci flow initiated from any Kähler metrics will converges to a Kähler Einstein metric exponentially fast.*

## Calabi flow in Riem. Surface

Let  $(M, g_0)$  be a Riem. surface without boundary. The famous uniformization theorem asserts that every conformal class admits a metric of constant scalar curvature.

## Calabi flow in Riem. Surface

Let  $(M, g_0)$  be a Riem. surface without boundary. The famous uniformization theorem asserts that every conformal class admits a metric of constant scalar curvature. Let  $g = e^{2u}g_0$  be any conformal metric with same area. We can define a 4th order flow as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{e^{2u}} \Delta_0 K(u).$$

## Calabi flow in Riem. Surface

Let  $(M, g_0)$  be a Riem. surface without boundary. The famous uniformization theorem asserts that every conformal class admits a metric of constant scalar curvature. Let  $g = e^{2u}g_0$  be any conformal metric with same area. We can define a 4th order flow as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{e^{2u}} \Delta_0 K(u).$$

Here

$$K(u) = \frac{-\Delta_0 u + K_0}{e^{2u}}.$$

This is the “Calabi flow.”

The Calabi energy is defined as

$$Ca(g) = \int_M K(u)^2 e^{2u} = \int_M \frac{(-\Delta_0 u + K_0)^2}{e^{2u}}.$$

The Calabi energy is defined as

$$Ca(g) = \int_M K(u)^2 e^{2u} = \int_M \frac{(-\Delta_0 u + K_0)^2}{e^{2u}}.$$

Area functional

$$Area(g) = \int_M e^{2u}.$$

The Calabi energy is defined as

$$Ca(g) = \int_M K(u)^2 e^{2u} = \int_M \frac{(-\Delta_0 u + K_0)^2}{e^{2u}}.$$

Area functional

$$Area(g) = \int_M e^{2u}.$$

These functionals are non-increasing along the Calabi flow.

$$\frac{d}{dt} Ca(g(t)) = - \int_M |K, zz|^2 dg,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} Area(g(t)) = 0.$$

The Calabi energy is defined as

$$Ca(g) = \int_M K(u)^2 e^{2u} = \int_M \frac{(-\Delta_0 u + K_0)^2}{e^{2u}}.$$

Area functional

$$Area(g) = \int_M e^{2u}.$$

These functionals are non-increasing along the Calabi flow.

$$\frac{d}{dt} Ca(g(t)) = - \int_M |K, zz|^2 dg,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} Area(g(t)) = 0.$$

Along the Calabi flow, we have

$$\int_M \frac{(-\Delta_0 u + K_0)^2}{e^{2u}} + \int_M e^{2u} \leq C.$$

# Global Structure of Robinson-Trautman space time ( $\mathbb{R}^+ \times R \times M^2$ )

There exists a coordinate system in which the metric takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\Phi du^2 - 2du dt + t^2 e^{2\lambda} g_{0ab} dx^a dx^b, \\ \Phi &= \frac{1}{2}K + \frac{t}{12m} \Delta_g K - \frac{2m}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

# Global Structure of Robinson-Trautman space time ( $\mathbb{R}^+ \times R \times M^2$ )

There exists a coordinate system in which the metric takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\Phi du^2 - 2du dt + t^2 e^{2\lambda} g_{0ab} dx^a dx^b, \\ \Phi &= \frac{1}{2}K + \frac{t}{12m} \Delta_g K - \frac{2m}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$g(t) = e^{2\lambda} g_0, \quad \text{and } K = K(g(t)).$$

Einstein metric in this space can be reduced to

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{24m} \Delta_g K(g(t)).$$

# Global Structure of Robinson-Trautman space time ( $\mathbb{R}^+ \times R \times M^2$ )

There exists a coordinate system in which the metric takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\Phi du^2 - 2du dt + t^2 e^{2\lambda} g_{0ab} dx^a dx^b, \\ \Phi &= \frac{1}{2}K + \frac{t}{12m} \Delta_g K - \frac{2m}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$g(t) = e^{2\lambda} g_0, \quad \text{and } K = K(g(t)).$$

Einstein metric in this space can be reduced to

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{24m} \Delta_g K(g(t)).$$

P. T. Chrusciel, 1991: Assuming uniformization theorem, the Calabi flow exists all time and converges to a constant scalar curvature metric exponentially.

What about higher dimensional?