PHY682 Special Topics in Solid-State Physics: Quantum Information Science

Lecture time: 2:40-4:00PM Monday & Wednesday

Today 10/5:

- 1. Brief review error correction for Shor's code
- 2. More of Week 6's topic on quantum error correction

Review: Shor's 9-qubit Error Correction Code [[n,k,d]]=[[9,1,3]]

Η

H

H

 $|0\rangle$

 $|0\rangle$

 $|0\rangle$

 $|\psi\rangle$

 $|0\rangle$

□ To fight against flip error:

 $|"0"\rangle = |000\rangle$ $|"1"\rangle = |111\rangle$

□ To fight against phase error:

$$|" + "\rangle = |+++\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$
$$|" - "\rangle = |---\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$$

□ Shor's suggestion to fight against both (and thus more):

$$|"0"\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) |"1"\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle)$$

→ Can verify the capability of correcting one-qubit errors

Review: Shor's code protects all 1-qubit errors!

Nielsen & Chuang: "the apparent continuum of errors that may occur on a single qubit can all be corrected by correcting only a discrete subset of those errors"

 \Box Consider E_k to be a general combination

$$E_k = e_{k0}I + e_{k1}X + e_{k2}XZ + e_{k3}Z$$

Its action on a qubit ψ gives rise to superposition (of no error and three types of errors):

$$E_k|\psi\rangle = e_{k0}|\psi\rangle + e_{k1}X|\psi\rangle + e_{k2}XZ|\psi\rangle + e_{k3}Z|\psi\rangle$$

→ Measuring "syndromes" collapses to either of the four components and correction can be applied to recover ψ

Review: Correctable errors---Shor's code

• Correctable condition: $PE_i^{\dagger}E_jP = \alpha_{ij}P$

Exercise 10.10 (N&C): Explicitly verify the quantum error-correction conditions for the Shor code, for the error set containing I and the error operators X_i , Y_i , Z_j for j = 1 through 9.

$$|"0"\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) |"1"\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) \frac{2_{1} t_{3}}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) \frac{2_{1} t_{3}}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle - |111\rangle) \frac{2_{1} t_{3}}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \frac{2_{1} t_{3}}{\sqrt{8}} (|000\rangle + |111\rangle) (|000\rangle + |110\rangle) (|000\rangle + |10\rangle) (|00\rangle + |10\rangle) (|00\rangle) (|00\rangle + |10\rangle) (|00\rangle + |10\rangle) (|00$$

Review: Error-correction conditions for S*

□ Theorem 10.8 (Nielsen & Chuang): (Error-correction conditions for stabilizer codes) Let S be the stabilizer for a stabilizer code C(S). Suppose {E_j} is a set of operators in G_n such that E[†]_j E_k not in N(S)−S for all j and k. Then {E_i} is a correctable set of errors for the code C(S).

Note: N(S) is normalizer group of S: contains elements E of G_n that preserve S, i.e. $\forall g \in S \rightarrow E g E^{\dagger} \in S$ [In this case, N(S) is equal to the centralizer Z(S), the group that commutes with all elements in S]

- ➢ For Shor's code: N(S) is generated by ① X₁X₂X₃X₄X₅X₆, ② X₄X₅X₆X₇X₈X₉ (from phase flip) ③ Z₁Z₂, ④ Z₂Z₃, ⑤ Z₄Z₅, ⑥ Z₅Z₆, ⑦ Z₇Z₈, ⑧ Z₈Z₉ and the two logical operators: Z = X₁X₂X₃X₄X₅X₆X₇X₈X₉ and logical X = Z₁Z₂Z₃Z₄Z₅Z₆Z₇Z₈Z₉
 - ✓ N(S)-S [set of elements in N(S) but not in S] contains operators of weight at least three: X₁X₂X₃, X₄X₅X₆, X₇X₈X₉, Z₁Z₄Z₇, Z₂Z₅Z₈, etc. E[†]_i E_k from single-qubit errors are not in this set!

Summary: Quantum error correction

- In quantum computer: there are more errors than just bit flip and phase
 - ✓ Also due to quantum superposition, being able to correct flip and phase errors → correct all one-qubit errors
- Quantum error correction has been well developed, drawing inspirations from classical coding theory; now also used in many fields, e.g. condensed matter physics and AdS/CFT holographic entanglement
- Quantum computers spend more effort in preventing and actively correcting errors than classical ones
 - → need measurement to find errors and apply correcting operations

Fault-tolerant and threshold

```
[Shor, Aharonov, Ben-Or, Kitaev,...]
```

If the error probability p of a gate is less than some threshold p_{th} , then arbitrarily long quantum computations are possible, using noisy gates, with a reasonable overhead cost



Concatenation

➤ Uses concatenation (many layer)
 each layer error prob. p → cp²

▶ k layers:
$$p \to \frac{1}{c} (cp)^{2^k}$$

- Want error probability
 gate error rate/poly(number) of gates
 - → needs $p < p_{\rm th} = 1/c$
- ✤ p_{th} and overhead depend on error model and fault-tolerant scheme

Topological codes

Toric code, color codes, Bacon-Shor codes, subsystem codes, fracton codes, Levin-Wen string-net models, etc.



→ Do not need "concatenation" (i.e. multiple layers)



Crusde QInfo in degenerate grand space

G.S. Legen





Recall: Stabilizer group & logical code space

Proposition 10.5 (Nielsen & Chuang): Let $S = \langle g_1 ..., g_{n-k} \rangle$ be generated by n - k independent and commuting elements from G_n , and such that -I not in $\in S$. Then V_S is a 2^k -dimensional vector space (effectively k qubits):

 \rightarrow V_S is a k-qubit code space C(S) defined by the stabilizer group S

→ Can choose two sets of k operators (logical Z's and X's)

→ Can apply to Toric code: what are the logical operators?



Excitations of toric code model



Correcting local errors

$$H = -\sum_{s} A_s - \sum_{p} B_p$$

 Error syndromes are from measuring A_s=B_p (see if -1)

□ Identify pairs of (e,e) and of (m,m)

 Then apply string of Z..Z or X..X to correct them



Check error correction condition

Correctable condition: PE[†]_iE_jP = α_{ij}P
 For toric code: P is projector to ground space.
 ✓ Can be easily checked that local operators cannot distinguish different ground states (the combined E[†]_i E_j needs to wrap around the torus, otherwise α_{i≠j}=0
 ✓ (E_k | Y_k)

- Correctable condition from stabilizer formalism:
 - $(E^{\dagger}_{j}E_{k})$ not in N(S)-S for all j and k.
 - N(S)-S is generated by logical operators (product of Pauli wrapping around)
 - ✓ Errors can be corrected as long as $E_i^{\dagger} E_k^{\dagger}$ do not wrap around the torus)



Pictorial understanding



→ Ground state G_{00} is a equal superposition of all possible (contractible) loop configurations [of 1...1]

Other ground states [4 degenerate states]

$$|G_{0,0}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G_s|}} \sum_{g \in G_s} g|00...0\rangle$$
 $|G_s| = 2^{n_s - 1}$

□ Ground space: effective two qubits

→ Use logical Pauli X operators to flip to get other ground states: $|G_{\alpha,\beta}\rangle \equiv (X_1)^{\alpha}(X_2)^{\beta}|G_{0,0}\rangle$ $X_{1/2} \equiv \prod_{j \in C_{x;1/2}} \sigma_x^{[j]}$ $\int_{hould chock} \hat{Z}_{j} \hat{Z}_{2}$ $\hat{A}_{s} = \frac{p}{p}$ $\hat{A}_{s} = \frac{p}{p}$

Pictorial understanding

$$|G_{0,0}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G_s|}} \sum_{g \in G_s} g|00...0\rangle$$

 Equal superposition of all possible (contractible) loop configurations

Another ground state:

$$|G_{0,1}\rangle = \left(X_2 \equiv \prod_{j \in C_{x;2}} \sigma_x^{[j]}\right) |G_{0,0}\rangle$$

 Non-contractible loops with winding number=1 (odd) in horizontal direction



Anyons: excitations of toric code model

- □ We have seen ground-state vacuum (I) and charge (e): A_s =-1, flux (m) B_p = -1
 - → Exchange between e & e (or m & m) gives +1
 - ➔ Braiding of e around m gives -1 sign





Anyons: I, e, m and f (fermions)

- □ We have now seen three anyons: vacuum (I) charge (e): $A_s = -1$, and flux (m) $B_p = -1$
- One more type of anyons is the usual "fermion" which is a "bound state" of e and m
 - f=(exm) • Fusion: e and m fuse to f ($e \times m = f$); e x f = m, m x f = eexe=1, m xm=1
 - □ Vacuum I is identity: I x e = e, I x m = m, $I \times f = f$
 - □ Same anyons fuse to vacuum: e x e = I = m x m = f x f

Note: Braiding of (e,m) around (e,m) gives +1

f f exchage twice (+) → Question: how do we reveal -1 of its fermion exchange?



"Topological charge" basis

$$\underbrace{\text{Old logical:}} |G_{0,0}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G_s|}} \sum_{g \in G_s} g |00...0\rangle$$

 Four basis states in ground space (convenient basis but there is a better one)

by al :
$$|G_{\alpha,\beta}\rangle \equiv (X_1)^{\alpha} (X_2)^{\beta} |G_{0,0}\rangle$$

 Topological charge basis w.r.t. (e.g.) horizontal loops:

$$Z_1 \otimes X_2 | 0/1, \pm \rangle = (-1)^{0/1} \cdot (\pm 1) | 0/1, \pm \rangle$$

$$\int_{I} | 0, \pm \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|G_{0,0}\rangle \pm G_{0,1}\rangle) \qquad \text{is small},$$

$$| 0, \pm \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|G_{1,0}\rangle \pm G_{1,1}\rangle)$$



Rotate by 90° and modular S matrix



Dehn twist and modular T matrix



Modular transformation and statistics



□ Modular transformation on degenerate ground states in topological basis $\{|\Xi_{\alpha}^{//}\rangle\}$ → modular matrices *S* (mutual statistics) & *T* (self-statistics)

$$S_{\beta\alpha} = \langle \Xi_{\beta}^{//} | \hat{R}(90^{\circ}) | \Xi_{\alpha}^{//} \rangle \qquad \left\langle \Phi | \overset{\text{Bord}}{\Longrightarrow} \right\rangle \\ T_{\beta\alpha} = \langle \Xi_{\beta}^{//} | \text{Dehn Twist} | \Xi_{\alpha}^{//} \rangle \qquad \left\langle \Phi | \overset{\text{Dehn Twist}}{\Longrightarrow} \right\rangle$$

Check error correction condition

• Correctable condition: $PE_i^{\dagger}E_jP = \alpha_{ij}P$

For toric code: P is projector to ground space.

- From the property of topological phase that local operators cannot distinguish different ground states (the combined E[†]_i E_j needs to wrap around the torus)
- Correctable condition from stabilizer formalism:

 $E_{i}^{\dagger}E_{k}$ not in N(S)–S for all j and k.

 Errors can be corrected as long as E[†]_j E_k do not wrap around the torus)



Error mitigation (not active correction)

Basic idea:

[Temme, Bravyi & Gambetta, PRL 119, 180509 (2017); Li & Benjamin, PRX7, 021050(2017)]

- A gate is achieved by some evolution operator via external field or coupling strength J(t)
- Same area -> same ideal gate
- Reality: longer pulse experience "larger" noise (effective larger λ)

$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(A\rho(t=T)) = E_K(\lambda) = E^* + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \lambda^k + R_{n+1}(\lambda, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T})$$

> If we use different pulses to mimic different noise strengths $\langle c_j \rangle$ can extract ideal E* up to small correction $\sum_{j} \gamma_j = 1, \ \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_j c_j^k = 0$

$$E^* \approx \sum_{j=0}^n \gamma_j E_K(c_j \lambda) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n+1})$$

Douton Crun T(+) ideal case: same area -> same gate 3 1 2T

extrapo la u

Measurement Error mitigation

[e.g Chen, Farahzad, Yoo & Wei, PRA 100, 052135 (2019); also standard in Qiskit]

 $) \sim \gamma_1$

Basic idea: prepare computational states n and measure in computational basis. Gather enough statistics > matrix M

□ <u>Mitigate readout errors</u> [*M* from <u>detector tomography or</u> above ~ ²/₁ procedure]

$$\tilde{P}_{(n_0,n_1,...,n_{N-1})}[\text{measured}] = \sum_{\vec{m}} (\mathbf{M}_{\vec{n};\vec{m}}) P_{\vec{m}}[\text{ideal}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Invert to find P with constraint P } e \text{ 0:}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ ideal} = |\mathbf{M}| = |\mathbf{M}| = \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$$